

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,402	10/646,402 08/22/2003		Martin Kiesel	1140668-0015 CON	9503	
7470	7590	08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
	& CASE L		CABRERA, ZOILA E			
		THE AMERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YO	RK, NY	10036		2125	2125 DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commons	10/646,4	02	KIESEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit					
<u> </u>		Zoila E. (2125					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress				
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING SIDE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING SIDE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING SIDE IS LONGER IN THE MAILING SIDE IS LONGER IN THE MAILING SIDE IS LONGER IN THE MAILING STATE IN THE MAILING STATE IS LONGER IN THE MAILING STATE IN THE MAILING STATE IS LONGER IN THE MAILING STATE IN THE MAILIN	NG DATE OF T CFR 1.136(a). In no edition. period will apply and ways statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be tir vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1) ∑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 November 1	2005						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the 									
• —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims	ridor Ex pario Q	aayie, 1000 0.5. 11, 10	00 0.0. 210.					
		,.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.						
Application	on Papers								
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	-		-	• •				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b)	oreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have be	en received.						
	Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have be	en received in Applicati	ion No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority docum	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).						
* \$	ee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the cert	ified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment	(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	40)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/16/05</u> .		6) Other:		,				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,402

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-25 are pending.

The rejections regarding claims 1-25 are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13, 15-22, 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haseley et al. (US 5,602,757).

Haseley discloses an electronic fingerprint apparatus for a machine, comprising:

 an automation component comprising a controller for controlling movements of at least one component of the machine (Col. 5, lines 17-26, i.e., action commands may be generated by the microcontroller to a monitored machine), the automation component adapted for capturing electronic fingerprints
 representative of a state of the machine (Col. 5, lines 4-9; Col. 7, lines 14-17 and lines 23-28, i.e., vibration signatures are generated from collected vibration data. Please note that "signature" or "footprint" are measurements in a machine that are characteristic of and document the behavior, or vibration, of the machine, which is the definition of "electronic fingerprints" in Specification, Page 1 of the present invention; see also Col. 1, lines 17-20); and the automation component further comprising a fingerprint device for selecting for measurement a plurality of movements of the machine to generate an electronic fingerprint that is representative of a condition of the machine (Col. 3, lines 22-26, i.e., sensor to measure vibration; Col. 5, lines 22-30, i.e., each sensor provides vibration data to produce vibration signatures which are used to monitor fault conditions of the machine).

As for claims 2-6, Haseley discloses,

- the automation component is <u>selected from the group consisting of</u> a numeric control, a motion controller, a programmable logic controller <u>or</u> an intelligent drive (Col. 4, lines 11-15, i.e. vibration monitoring system);
- the automation component and the corresponding engineering system provide a
 program platform/environment for the implementation of electronic fingerprints by
 an application engineer (Col. 6, lines 57-61; Col. 6, lines 8-19);
- an engineering system corresponding to the automation component, wherein implementation of the fingerprints is done by <u>at least one of</u> a configuration process in the engineering system and a programming process using a specific API for the implementation of fingerprints (Col. 7, lines 13-16; Col. 4, lines 65-67);

- the start of capturing the fingerprints is done by <u>an action selected from the</u>
 <u>group consisting of</u> starting by local user via local HMI and starting by remote
 user via Ethernet/Internet; and starting based on an event evaluated in an
 application program running in the automation component (Col. 7, lines 13-16;
 Col. 6, lines 7-19 and lines 33-40);
- the apparatus is used for a <u>machine</u> <u>selected from the group consisting of</u> machine tools, packaging machines, a rubber-working machines; plastic-working machines; printing presses; woodworking machines; glassmaking machines; ceramic-working machines; stoneworking machines; textile machines; robotic manufacturing machines and material handling machines (Col. 3, lines 10-17).

As for claims 7 and 18, Haseley discloses,

 the fingerprint device and the automation component generate an electronic fingerprint that is generic to a type of machine tool that indicates a stable behavior of the machine tool (Col. 6, lines 50-53 and lines 22-28).

As for claims 8 and 19.

 the fingerprint device and the automation component generates an electronic fingerprint having a deviation from the stable behavior, thereby indicating an unstable behavior of the machine (Col. 6, lines 29-32 and lines 54-56; Col. 5, lines 22-30).

As for claims 9 and 20,

the fingerprint device and the automation component generates a specific
 fingerprint of a particular production machine that is representative of a state of

at least one the outputs of the particular production machine and the stable behavior of the machine (Col. 6, lines 22-28 and lines 50-53).

As for claims 10 and 21,

 a graphical user interface for displaying a graphical depiction of the electronic fingerprint (Col. 5, lines 1-4).

As for claims 11,

the fingerprint device is adapted for generating a periodic electronic fingerprint
that is developed from a snap shot of the state of the machine at a certain time
(Col. 6, lines 57-58, i.e., vibration data is collected at user selected time
intervals).

As for claims 12 and 22,

 an application for comparing the electronic fingerprints over time (Col. 7, lines 23-28 and lines 35-40).

As for claims 13 and 25,

 a memory for storing the electronic fingerprints as a database (Col. 7, lines 23-28, data memory 38).

As for claims 15 and 24,

 a remote communication capability that couples the machine to a remote processor (Col. 4, lines 28-37).

As for claim 16,

• the electronic fingerprint is downloaded over the remote communication to the remote processor (Col. 4, lines 28-37, i.e., communication between a remote

Application/Control Number: 10/646,402 Page 6

Art Unit: 2125

field monitor and the vibration monitoring system would allow transfer of vibration data).

As for claim 17, **Haseley** discloses a method for generating electronic fingerprints for measuring a state of a machine, the method comprising the steps of:

• selecting for measurement parameters associated with <u>at least one</u>-component of the machine (Col. 4, lines 59-65; Fig. 2, footprint or signature with parameters being frequency and velocity; Col. 5, lines 22-28; Col. 3, lines 24-26, sensors may be accelerometers or any other type of sensors used to measure vibration), that are representative of a condition of the machine (Col. 3, lines 22-26, i.e., sensor to measure vibration; Col. 5, lines 22-30, i.e., each sensor provides vibration data to produce vibration signatures which are used to monitor fault conditions of the machine); reading the parameters; and storing the read parameters (Col. 6, lines 65-67), thereby creating an electronic fingerprint representative of a condition of the machine (Col. 7, lines 13-16 and lines 22-28 and lines 35-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haseley et al. (US 5,602,757) in view of Hays et al. (US 6,330,525).

Regarding claims 14 and 23, **Haseley** discloses the limitations of claims 1 and 17 above but fails to disclose the limitations of claims 14 and 23. However, Hays discloses such limitations as follows:

a maintenance scheduler for scheduling maintenance of the machine based on a
prediction of a failure of the machine based on the electronic fingerprint (Col. 10,
lines 48-56; Col. 20, lines 13-22; Col. 6, lines 20-35, i.e., performance
signatures).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vibration monitoring system of **Haseley** with the diagnosing system of **Hays** because it would provide an improved system wherein data may be used to provide maintenance and continuous monitoring of machine health (Col. 6, lines 16-35).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1, 17, and 25, Applicants contend that Haseley does not disclose or suggest the recited "automation component" or that the recited functionality for capture of fingerprints, or for selecting for measurement a plurality of movements to generate an electronic fingerprint, be performed by the automation component. Examiner disagrees

because Haseley discloses that the microcontroller 20 correlates the state of the machine to be monitored with collected predetermined vibration signatures from a machine (Col. 5, lines 4-7). Haseley discloses that "spectral analysis performed at steps 56, 62, and 70 employs a fast Fourier transform algorithm to obtain a vibration signature from collected vibration data generated by signals from the sensors 12 and 14... In order to accurately perform predictive vibration monitoring, the vibration signatures generated by the fast Fourier transform algorithm are compared with the following: the benchmark vibration signatures stored in the data memory 38...; and previously obtained vibration signatures" (Col. 7, lines 13-29; Col. 5, lines 4-9; Col. 7, lines 14-17 and lines 23-28, i.e., vibration signatures are generated from collected vibration data. Please note that "signature" or "footprint" are measurements in a machine that are characteristic of and document the behavior, or vibration, of the machine, which is the definition of "electronic fingerprints" in Specification, Page 4, [0016] of the present invention; see also Haseley, Col. 1, lines 17-20).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,402 Page 9

Art Unit: 2125

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Zoila Cabrera, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. Additionally, the fax phones for Art Unit 2125 are (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Primary Examiner 7/27/06